

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,206		03/22/2004	Masahiko Kubo	1254-0246PUS1	9119
2292	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH &	RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1756	
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/805,206	KUBO, MASAHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
	on ☑ This action is non-final.	*	
3) Since this application is in condition for	· 	ers prosecution as to the merit	e ie
closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	3 13
blood in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1000 O.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - -		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection	, , , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	21(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	, 11.0 <u>2</u> .12.1		••
<u> </u>		440() (1) (0)	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign phority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do		- Parks - Ma	
	cuments have been received in A		
3. Copies of the certified copies of	· · · · · ·	received in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa			
* See the attached detailed Office action t	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attach mont(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🔲 Intonúa 6	Summary (PTO-413)	
 7) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) 	ا بنا البنانية الموادية الموا	s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SB/08) 5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/22/04</u> .	6) Other:	_ ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper.

Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter.

37 CFR 1.57(f).

The penetration of the wax is described in the specification as being determined by a foreign standard, specifically JIS K 2235-5.4 (spec. p. 11). This measurement is essentially to understand the meaning of dependent claim 3. The JIS must be incorporated into the specification in the manner described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is indefinite as currently presented because it is unclear what the penetration of the wax defines. It appears that this feature relies upon the foreign standard discussed above,

Art Unit: 1756

but it is not clear what this standard is or if the standard is definite for the purposes of US Patent Law.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-267338 in view of *Handbook of Imaging Materials* to Diamond, pp. 162-165, 167, 168.

JP '338 discloses a toner having a cyclohexane dimethanol polyester binder resin, a colorant, and a carnauba wax in Example 3. The polyester has an acid number (i.e., acid value in kg KOH/g) of 12. The document teaches in the Abstract that the wax has a DSC heat starting temperature of 40 to 120 °C, preferably 45 to 100 °C (¶ [0025]) and a melt viscosity of 80 to 120 °C. The document also teaches that paraffin wax is an effective wax in the toner (¶ [0029]).

The JP document does not specify the charge on the toner and does not disclose a combination adding paraffin wax to the exemplified toner having camauba wax.

Diamond teaches that toners conventionally contain a charge control additive to give the desired charge to the toner (pp. 163, 165, 169). These components are added for both positive and negative charging toners (p. 169).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce the toner of the JP document with a negative charge because there are only two possible charges for the toner: positive and negative. The artisan would have

Page 4

found it obvious to add a charge control additive to the toner in order to give either polarity and level of charge to the toner. The artisan would also have found it obvious to use a combination of carnauba and paraffin waxes disclosed by the reference as effective because "it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980). The combination of the two disclosed waxes to form a mixture of these waxes when both are taught as effective would have been *prima facie* obvious given the reasoning of *Kerkhoven*. The artisan would have found it obvious to optimize the relative amounts of the two waxes in order to provide the storage stability and offset resistance of the document. Additionally, the paraffin wax disclosed in the JP document appears to inherently be non-polar because paraffin wax is non-polar (i.e., it is a hydrocarbon) unless specific steps are taken to introduce polar groups. The "non-polar" limitation is seen as present in the disclosure of paraffin wax.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 2000-0042013, JP 2003-066652, and Aoki in US Patent Application Publication 2002/0042013 are all cited for their disclosures of polyesters having dimethanol cyclohexane as a monomer. These references are seen as cumulative to the applied art.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 1756

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdr 2 May 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER